

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	<i>b</i>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/889,640	07/19/2001	Andreas Muhlebach		7149
324	7590 04/03/2003			
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD			EXAMINER	
			ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA	
P O BOX 200 TARRYTOW)5 /N, NY 10591-9005		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1713	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				a			
•	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	- ·	09/889,640	MUHLEBACH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tatyana Zalukaeva, Ph.D	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Peoply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. & 133)	unication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the m 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is			
·	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		•				
-: -	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and/or el ion Papers	ection requirement.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	` '				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reg						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		plication).			
а) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachmen		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)Patent Application (PTO-15				
S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Pa	per No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/889,640 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 1, 2 and 6 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Claim 4 has been rejoined with claims 1, 2 and 6, since Applicants admitted on the record that the subject matter of claim 4 would clearly be obvious over the subject matter of claims 1, 2 and 6. The traversal for restricting claims 3 and 5 is on the ground(s) that even if crosslinked, a polymer or oligomer of claim 5 would still fall within the scope of definitions of polymer of formula V. This is not found persuasive because of the resons set forth in the restriction requirement. Namely, when the polymer is crosslinked, usually reaction between functional groups of polymer and those of a crosslinkers occur, thus producing a product with different functionality, different properties, and therefore different behavior. This is what crosslinking performed for.

Applicants have not rebutted the restriction of claim 3, that provide totally different end functionality.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made *FINAL*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/889,640

Art Unit: 1713

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyjaszewski et al (U.S. 5,789,487) in view of Ueda et al (Macromolecules Vol. 31, No.3, 02, 1998, pp 557-62).

Matyjaszewski discloses an ATRP wherein block or graft (co)polymers with well defined

Application/Control Number: 09/889,640

Art Unit: 1713

molecular architecture and narrow polydispersity index, in the presence of an initiating system comprising (i) an initiator having a radically transferable atom or group, (ii) a transition metal compound, and (iii) a ligand; the present invention is also directed to the synthesis of a macromolecule having at least two halogen groups which can be used as a macroinitiator component (i) to subsequently form a block or graft copolymer by an atom or group transfer radical polymerization process (abstract). Preferred initiators include C1 -C6 -alkyl esters of a 2-halo-C1 -C6 -carboxylic acid (such as 2-chloropropionic acid, 2-bromopropionic acid, 2-chloroisobutyric acid, 2-bromoisobutyric acid, etc.) (col. 10, lines 11-22).

In a synthesis of macroinitiator an example is the polyesterification of a diol (1.0 mol) with a diacid (0.95 mol) in the presence of 2-bromopropionicacid or chloroacetic acid (0.05 mol) to produce a polyester having a degree of polymerization (DP)=20 and .alpha.-halogen end group is provided in col. 17, lines 15-20.

Matyjaszewski teaches the process of ATRP using a concept of halogenated macroinitiator that participate formation of end groups of resulting bloc copolymers. The disclosure of Matyjaszewski differs from the instant claims by not disclosing trihydric or tetra- or pentahydric alcohols for esterification in order to obtain suitable macroinitiators. However, Matyjaszewski teaches the synthesis of multifunctional polymers which can be further used for the synthesis of block and graft polymers. Thus, Matyjaszewski motivates a person skilled in the art to employ compounds of multiple functionality in the synthesis of his polymers.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,640

Art Unit: 1713

Ueda discloses living radical polymerization initiated by initiation system comprising dior trifunctional dichloroacetates synthesized from corresponding multifunctional
alcohols and Ru compounds in the presence of aluminum, compounds (abstract). The
production of multiarmed polymers with controlled molecular weight and narrow
polydispersity is accomplished. The components of such macroinitiators corresponding
to those obtained from trihydric alcohols are shown as a structure 3a page 559.

Since both Ueda and Matyjaszewski disclose living radical polymerization with the goal to obtain well defined architecture, low polydispercity polymers, a person skilled in the art motivated by the teaching of Matyjaszewski would have found it obvious to utilize polyesters obtained from thrihydric alcohols of Ueda in lieu of those obtained from dihydric alcohols of Matyjaszewski in order to induce more branching without sacrificing the architecture and polydispercity in the ATRP polymers of Matyjaszewski, and thus to arrive at the instantly claimed subject matter.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tatyana Zalukaeva, Ph.D whose telephone number is (703) 308-8819. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703)308-24-50. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1713

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Tatyana Zalukaeva, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

March 27, 2003